

Overview

Introduction

The storm dilemma. Detection vs. forecasting

٠

Detection and

02

forecasting

Storm detection and forecasting using ML.







This presentation will refer to **detection** and **forecasting** models throughout.

Detection

Identification or classification of historical severe storm events based on non-storm data (like temperature, pressure, humidity, etc.).

Forecasting

Prediction of future severe storm events using historical storm data.





Statistical vs. ML classifiers

Both the detection and forecasting models use some sort of classifier to understand complex relationships between input data. There are two main variants of classifiers commonly used for detection or forecasting models:

Statistical classifiers

Use statistical inference techniques to detect correlation between input datasets. A simple example of a statistical classifier is linear regression.

Machine learning classifiers

Use some form of machine learning model to compute weights (for example, using backpropagation) which determine predictive relationships.

















Below shows the types of classifiers used in the detection and forecasting models.

Model	Detection	Forecasting		
Inputs	NOAA reports	NOAA reports & NetCDF data		
Type of classifier	Statistical	Machine learning		
Name of classifier	U-Net	ConvLSTM		
	$\sim \Lambda$			





02 Detection

Building the Detection Model



Detection model





The architecture of the detection model is inspired by the model from this landslide detection paper. Specifically, the model first encodes spatial input through a two-step process:

- (SPP)
- hyperparameters

Note that (1) incorporates spatial relationships and (2) incorporates channel-wise relationships. The transformed input data is then fed into a classifier like an NRF or SVM.

The spatial inputs for this version of the model are the precipitation, wind direction, and wind velocity, extracted from Google Earth Engine. These inputs can be extended easily to other datasets.

The number of spatial channels is reduced to the effective dimension of the channel and the reduced channels are flattened through spatial pyramid pooling

2. Further flatten the data using a channel-wise SPP. Feed into a linear layer to eliminate permutation-based



The architecture of the encoding branch is inspired by the model from this landslide detection paper.



Pointwise Conv. The second part of a Separable CNN Merges all SPP's through concatenation

Step 2

Concatenation

Step 2



SPP Flattening

Spatial Pyramid Pooling

linear activation

Linear Layer

Step 3

Interpolates data with

Step 4

Concatenation

Combine the two branches to create a unified feature map



Decoding/Classifying

Encoded data is not immediately useful unless it is run through certain processes to extract the desired output. There are two main ways of doing this and they are not mutually exclusive.



useful information

to semantic segmentation **ResUNet**

Taking spatial data and classifying it using certain algorithms

Classification Naive technique based on Bayesian Bayes probability

Differentiable form of a NRF Random Forest

Radial Basis RBF-**Function kernel** SVM of a Support **Vector Machine**



Detection model - architecture









Forecasting

03

Conclusion and things to improve



Difficulties forecasting



Anyone who has tried to plan vacations around weather forecasts knows the difficulty of forecasting storms accurately. This difficulty arises from the chaotic, innate dynamics of low-pressure weather systems:

To deal with these issues, a more exotic solution was required for the forecasting model.

• The general evolution of storm systems is described by fluid dynamics accounting for turbulence and rotation. This is notoriously difficult physics - even the case without rotation or turbulence is an open question. • But we don't need to predict the evolution of the entire atmosphere, we just need a rough estimate that's approximately right one the order of weeks in the future. Unfortunately, even this is a difficult task due to the massive number of factors that can impact weather.

Forecasting model



A novel machine learning model was developed for forecasting - a combination of a traditional convolutional neural network and an LSTM, called a ConvLSTM, modified significantly to accommodate storm input data.

The model is designed in a complex fashion to capture complex spatiotemporaral interactions between the input data.

Data preparation

The data for the forecasting model is taken from NOAA historical storm <u>reports</u>, in the form of CSV files of coordinates. The database provides historical reports of severe tornado, wind, and hail events in the continental US, shown on the right.

Regions of input were then generated by constructing and filling alpha-shapes around the storm coordinates in a recursive manner (more on this later).

The full data preparation process is shown in the following slides.



Data Processing (1)



CSV Extraction

Through DownThemAll! Reading In data into a dictionary Alpha-shape Computation Finds a concave hull to represent the data

Uniform Filling

Step 4

Create a uniform distribution of points in a bounding box

Data Processing (2)



Contain filter

Through DownThemAll!

Populate BBox

In data into a dictionary

Rounding

Round all contained with precision sigfig=1

Indexing

Step 8

Convert point coordinates into array indices and populate them with one or true

Data Processing (3)



Parsing dates

Converting datetimes into a deltatime into a numeric value of days

Regressing data

Precomputing previous data for initial conditions

Splitting data

Into training and testing via sklearn's train_test_split



Dumping

Pickling data into a tuple of information for later use

Alpha shape construction



- Alpha-shapes are bounding polygons that enclose coordinates
- An alpha-shape is constructed by Delaunay triangulating the data, vertex, and filtering the circumradii such that they are no more than $1/\alpha$
- Alpha-shapes were chosen for their customizability and as a middle-ground between convex hulls and MSTs
- some have none) a novel, recursive method was written that recursively decrements the parameter α to produce a descriptive alpha-shape regardless of data density

finding the local circumcircle of each

• To accommodate for degenerate data cases (some days have many storms,



Forecasting model - architecture

After all is said and done, we can now feed the processed and filled alpha-shape data into a ConvLSTM model as input. The full model architecture is shown on the right.

 $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}$

- Note that the spatial data is fed through several convolutional LSTM layers and then merged with the original datetime data. This allows for the preservation of spatiotemporal relationships
- The model takes the last three days of tornado, wind, and hail data to produce predicted regions of tornado, wind, and hail

Inputs
$\left((\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3) : \mathrm{shape}(\mathcal{X}_i) = (h, w) ight)$
$egin{aligned} & (\mathcal{Y}_1,\mathcal{Y}_2,\mathcal{Y}_3):\mathrm{shape}(\mathcal{Y}_i)=(h,w) \end{aligned}$
$\left((\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_2, \mathcal{Z}_3) : \operatorname{shape}(\mathcal{Z}_i) = (h, w) \right)$
t:(1,)
Embedding



Final predictions



Forecasting model – results (1)

The forecasting model has been successfully run and early metrics indicate it is quite accurate.

To the right are the loss/accuracy tables for the training and validation data over five epochs. The model stabilizes at around 70% validation accuracy for tornado and wind, but only manages 0% for hail.

Epoch #	Torn loss	Hail loss	Wind loss	Torn acc	Hail acc	Wind acc
1	5.8032	0.7184	9.6077	0.0145	0.0023	0.2569
2	5.1505	0.7325	8.9438	0.0172	$2.4528 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.3877
3	5.0135	0.7307	8.8987	0.0232	$2.3785 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.5717
4	4.9628	0.7298	8.8573	0.0837	$2.5271 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.5815
5	4.9237	0.7292	8.8176	0.3672	$1.7095 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.6742

Epoch #	Torn loss	Hail loss	Wind loss	Torn acc	Hail acc	Wind acc
1	6.5738	0.6747	11.6576	$2.2999 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.1816 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.7960
2	6.2969	0.6785	10.9007	0.0015	$3.2855 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.7217
3	5.2992	0.6948	9.4190	0.0098	$1.4934 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.6887
4	5.2027	0.7359	9.3074	0.6740	$1.7095 \cdot 10^{-5}$	0.7142
5	5.1819	0.7505	9.2296	0.6950	0	0.7257



Table 1: Training

Table 2: Validation

Forecasting model – results (2)

Here is a sample of predicted regions.

- On the far right are three predicted regions for tornado, hail, and wind. To the left are four pictures - the top left, top right, and bottom left are the previous three days of data, and the bottom right is the actual data.
- All three predicted regions strangely have the same shape, with cycling confidence levels. This may be because of the merging that was done in the model.
- Despite this spatial redundancy, the tornado and wind predictions line up quite well with the actual data. We can now see that the hail suffers because the entire background region gets cycled to high confidence.











Summary of achievements

- Models were constructed to detect and forecast severe storm events. Both used elements of machine learning and/or statistical inference to establish spatiotemporal patterns.
- 2. Novel techniques to process weather data (separable) CNNs and alpha shape processing) were developed.
- 3. Novel model architectures for storm detection/forecasting (modified ConvLSTM) were developed.
- 4. The forecasting model was successfully run and despite spatial redundancy was shown to achieve surprisingly high accuracy.









To do:

- 1. Eliminate spatial redundancy in forecasting model. a. Could be done by switching to a tripartite model that does not merge the input data
- 2. Successfully run detection model.
- 3. Adjust hyperparameters (batch size, filter number, etc.) to maximize forecasting and detection accuracy.
- 4. Improve existing documentation to facilitate usability and adaptability for other future users.
- 5. Incorporate other datasets like precipitation and wind velocity to forecasting model to further bolster accuracy.









Detecting and forecasting severe storm events is a difficult but highly important task. Hundreds of Americans are killed by severe storms every year and thousands more are displaced or injured by them. With continued research into the field of storm prediction, we hope to get closer to solving this task.







Thank you!

