Monitoring Global Precipitation—Climate Diagnostics to Floods

Robert Adler Guojun Gu Huan Wu Jian-Jian Wang Matt Sapiano University of Maryland

Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP)

Climatology (1979-2013)



GPCP is an often-used <u>analysis</u> based on satellite and gauge data (1979-near present). No TRMM, GPM or Cloudsat data are in the current GPCP.

> Adler et al., 2003 J. Hydromet Huffman et al., 2009 GRL

Absolute Magnitude of Global Precipitation

	Ocean	Land	Ocean + Land	
Precipitation	2.90 mm/d	2.24 mm/d	2.69 mm/d	*

Current GPCP global long-term number is 2.69 mm/d +/- ~7% With the error based on variations among different estimates (including TRMM) (Adler et al. 2012 JAMC)

But, how well do these very large-scale precipitation numbers compare <u>with more recent data sets</u> and fit in with <u>other</u> <u>components of the water cycle</u>?

* New values based on not-released GPCP V2.3 (in testing)—slightly higher (~1%) over ocean

Global Mean Annual Water Cycle

Global mean water fluxes (1,000 km³/yr) at the start of the 21st century



From Rodell et al., 2015 J. Clim.

Tropical Mean (Ocean) Rainfall

Catima ataa

mm/ <u>d</u>	TRMM Radar (2A25 NS adjusted)	TRMM Composite Climatology (TCC)*	GPCP	TRMM PR + CloudSat**	
35N-35S (ocean)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0 (3 years)	

There seems to be a <u>remote sensing consensus</u> emerging of the mean <u>magnitude of tropical ocean rain</u>—this doesn't mean that this is the correct answer, but that current remote sensing information (TRMM and CloudSat) does not lead to significant "missed rain" in the tropics. *<u>Adler</u> et al. 2009 JMSJ; <u>Wang</u> et al., 2014 JCLIM

**<u>Behrangi</u> et al., 2014 JClim

Global Mean (Ocean) Rainfall Estimates

	GPCP	PR + CloudSat; AMSR + CloudSat Behrangi et al. 2014 JClim
60N-60S	2.97	3.13
(ocean)	mm/d	[GPCP + ~ 5%]

<u>GPCP global ocean number still seems reasonable</u>, but needs to be examined again with improved data (e.g., GPM, etc.). If there are faults in the global precipitation magnitude (e.g., underestimation) it probably doesn't have to do with light rain or snow, but perhaps with **intense convective rainfall** in the tropics.

GPM First Year Precipitation from Passive Microwave (GMI) and Radar (DPR)



Ocean	GPM			
-				
	GPCP	GMI	DPR	
25S-25N	3.22	3.31	3.36	
65S-65N	2.99	2.84	2.70	
Preliminary GPM Products				

- GPM slightly higher than GPCP in tropics
- GPM lower in extra-tropics



Variations in Global Surface Temperature and Precipitation Trends, Inter-decadal Shifts and ENSO and Volcano Effects



Temperature: *Trend: .15 C/decade* **ENSO: 0.2C** Volcano: 0.4C

Precipitation: *Trend: ~ zero* ENSO: .05 mm/d (2%) amplitude **9%/K** Volcano: .09 mm/d (3%) amplitude 8%/K

> New GPCP V2.3 Beta Test

Patterns of Trends (1979-2013)



Variations of ENSO, PDO and AMO Indices During Satellite Era

Precipitation Trend Patterns During Satellite Era

Technique uses PDO and AMO indices and regression analyses

Gu, Adler and Huffman (2015) Climate Dynamics

Trends in Global Precipitation During Satellite Era (1979-2013)

Although the trend in global total precipitation is near zero (in GPCP analysis), the pattern of observed regional trends (left panel) is related to Global Warming (GW) plus inter-decadal signals such as PDO and AMO (ENSO impact is small). Bottom left panel shows trend pattern after PDO effect is removed, a better estimate of of GW impact on precipitation regional trends and also a pattern closer to that predicted by CMIP climate models (bottom right), but with smaller magnitudes—by factor of 2-3.

Gu and Adler (2015) J. Clim.

GPCP NOAA ICDR (Interim Climate Data Record) for October

The GPCP Interim CDR for October 2015 continues to show with El Nino-related positive precipitation anomalies over central and eastern Pacific and negative anomalies over the Maritime Continent. Additional features include negative anomalies over northern South America and the Caribbean and positive anomalies over the Arabian Sea and central Africa. A positive anomaly is evident over Mexico and into the southwest of the U.S.

Comparison of the October anomaly pattern with the typical El Nino pattern for October can be found on the next slide.

Adler/Wang/Gu/Sapiano U. of Maryland

El Nino minus La Nina Precipitation Anomalies Top 1/3rd –Bottom 1/3rd of months Using Nino 3.4 Index

Precipitation Anomaly Pattern for October 2015 vs. Pattern for "Mean" El Nino

Anomaly pattern for Oct. 2015 (left) shows strong patterns, may of which are similar to patterns seen in the "mean" pattern for El Nino for October (using Octobers having Nino 3.4 values in the top third of Octobers). The magnitudes of the mean pattern on the right are smaller due to averaging. Besides the patterns of the tropical Pacific, Maritime Continent and Amazon, one can also see the positive anomalies over the Arabian Sea and eastern Africa (e.g., Horn of Africa) and southeastern South America. Across the U.S. southern states the anomalies are positive, a stronger signal than seen in the mean map.

ENSO Precipitation Index (ESPI)

Pacific Ocean and Maritime Continent rain anomalies are used to compute various indices, including ENSO Precipitation Index (ESPI) [Curtis and Adler (2000) J. Clim.] which shows sharp increase over last several months to near record maximum. The ESPI is highly correlated to Nino 3.4 (shown above) and SOI, but has given an early indication of major changes, e.g., jump from Feb. 2015)

Mean El Nino Precipitation Anomalies for November and December

The figures above show the mean patterns of precipitation anomalies for the months when Nino 3.4 values were in the top third for that month. Since the current El Nino is expected to continue these maps represent an estimate of expected anomalies for November and December, at least due to ENSO. The Arabian Sea and eastern Africa have positive anomalies and have already been hit by floods during early November in Somalia and in Yemen with unusual cyclone activity. In southeast South America (extreme southern Brazil and northeastern Argentina) floods have also occurred during November, right in the area of the maximum positive anomaly.

Global Flood Monitoring System (GFMS) http://flood.umd.edu/

Global <u>Real-time</u> Flood Calculations Using Satellite Rainfall and Hydrological Model

Global Flood Monitoring System (GFMS) Cited by World Food Program

"The Global Flood Monitoring System (GFMS) provides one key step further by indicating how an excess rainfall event will impact river flow, and also whether there is a potential for flooding downstream away from the heavy rain event," said Emily Niebuhr of the UN's World Food Program (WFP) in Rome. "We check the GFMS nearly every single day to monitor current flood concerns, and also to assist in discovering new flood events that may not have been reported yet or are developing".

For full article see:

http://pmm.nasa.gov/articles/improving-flood-predictions-gpm

Global Flood Monitoring System (GFMS) Adler/Wu University of Maryland flood.umd.edu

Recent (27 July – 2 Aug. 2015) Visitors/Users of GFMS website (<u>http://flood.umd.edu</u>)

	Mon	Tues	Wed	Thur	Fri	Sat	Sun	Total	Avg
Pageloads	167	254	350	166	152	62	77	1,228	175
Unique Visits	131	188	267	134	97	44	57	918	131
First Time Visits	100	151	235	100	64	26	36	712	102
Returning Visits	31	37	32	34	33	18	21	206	29

Malaysia Flooding (December 2014)

17DEC 2014 19DEC

21DEC

23DEC

Global Flood Monitoring System—Adler/Wu (U. of Maryland)

flood.umd.edu

25DEC

27DEC

29DEC

1 km Inundation Estimates 27 December

In addition to 12 km estimates of streamflow, etc., the GFMS also does routing at 1 km resolution and this leads to inundation estimates at 1 km resolution

South Carolina Floods--Estimated Inundation Maps (Oct. 4-8)

Calculated inundation maps at 1 km resolution showing inundation widespread on 4 October and condensing into main branches of rivers by 6 October, with continued, but decreasing flooding today, 8 October.

Streamflow along Congaree River near Columbia

Note differences in units, vertical scale (log vs. linear) and time zone

Context of Current Flood Event Compared to Last 15 years

Calculated streamflow at two key points along Congaree and Santee Rivers over the last 15 years sets the context of the current event. At both locations the current event is the largest, with other peaks evident in the past. Other points: 1) The estimated satellite rainfall was lower by about 25% than raingauges on ground in areas of the highest amounts, producing an underestimate on peak streamflow; 2) Downstream toward Charleston our calculated streamflow is higher than observed due primarily to effect of dams holding the back significant amounts of water, which may in turn be affecting our estimated inundation maps—moving water too fast toward coast.

Summary

Satellite precipitation estimations have allowed for a planetary view of magnitudes, spatial and temporal variations (even trends) that are due to a number of major factors on various time scales, including global warming.

"Real-time" global precipitation now being monitored for climate diagnostics in relation to ENSO and other phenomena.

High-time resolution precipitation information is being used in numerous applications, including estimating and forecasting floods.

New satellite missions and new ideas and analyses make for a promising future in this area.